

2nd Scientific Council of 3rd National Action Plan for E. mink



DREAL : Aurore PERRAULT **OFB** : Christelle BELLANGER, Maylis FAYET, Yoann BRESSAN, Céline BLIN **GRIFS** : Thomas RUYS

Scientific Council – 13th December 2022 – 9.30am to 1pm



Agenda

Organisation of this online meeting

- 1. Future translocations of European Mink
 - Feedback about translocation experiments
 - Proposal for a French translocation strategy
- 2. Care protocol for European Mink in distress



Role of the scientific council (CS)

- Consultation on scientific topics
 - By meeting: face-to-face + online
 - By a collaborative platform
- Meetings as much as needed
- 8 permanent members:
 - M. Philippe BERNY (VetAgro Sup)
 - M. Sébastien DEVILLARD (University of Lyon)
 - Mme Christine FOURNIER (GREGE)
 - M. Tiit MARAN (Zoo of Tallinn + EEP coordinator)
 - M. Johan MICHAUX (University of Liège)
 - M. Madis PODRA (TRAGSATEC)
 - Mme Audrey SAVOURE-SOUBELET (SFEPM)
 - M. Julien STEINMETZ (OFB)

Ad hoc experts → today: M. Guillaume ROMANO (Zoodyssée)





Agenda

Organisation of this online meeting

- 1. Future translocations of European Mink
 - Feedback about translocation experiments
 - Proposal for a French translocation strategy
- 2. Care protocol for European Mink in distress





Why translocations?

- Classified as Critically Endangered (world, Europe, France)
- Estimation in France: less than 250 individuals
- 33 births (10 litters) in Zoodyssée since 2019

→ Translocations defined as a first priority in the 3rd National Action Plan



Evolution of the European Mink range (De Bellefroid & Rosoux, 1998; Maizeret et al, 2002)

Different types of translocations

Reinforcement

Intentional movement and release of an organism into an existing population of conspecifics

Reintroduction

• Intentional movement and release of an organism inside its indigenous range from which it has disapeared

Assisted colonisation

 Intentional movement and release of an organism outside its indigenous range to avoid extinction of populations of the focal species

Ecological replacement

• Intentional movement and release of an organism outside its indigenous range to perform a specific ecological function

© IUCN, 2013



Methodology

- Bibliographic synthesis of translocation experiments
 - General bibliography
 - European mink
 - Other carnivores: Black-footed ferret, Iberian lynx
 - French mammals translocations already done: Brown bear and common Hamster
- Questionnaire/interview/mails of experts (thanks!) who performed translocations:
 - European Mink: Estonia, Spain, Germany, Russia
 - Other species: USA (Black-footed ferret)







Translocations studied

Studied translocations of European Mink





Translocations studied

Studied translocations of other species



Choice of the translocation site

Common criteria

- Absence of American mink
- Suitable habitats
- High level of social acceptance
- Sufficient food resources



Salburua, Spain



Other local criteria

- Few predators
- Possibility to build enclosures
- Enough hiding places available
- Connectivity between populations
- Absence of diseases



Hiiumaa island, Estonia

Actions implemented before translocation

Recommended

- Eradication of the American mink and/or monitoring of its colonization
- Habitat restoration and/or improvement (artificial shelters)





Footprint raft for detection of American mink





Artificial shelters

Not recommended

Predator control

Preparation of the released minks

Use of acclimatisation enclosures (soft release)

- Near the breeding centre or in the translocation site
- Natural composition, ponds, nesting boxes
- Distribution of live prey (fish, crayfish, rodents, etc.)
- Cameras to monitor behaviour



Acclimatisation enclosure in the site, Estonia



Transportable enclosure, Germany



Pond in the enclosure, Spain

Badger robot to afraid Black footed ferret



Not recommended

Predator training: ineffective



Releases

Choice of the released minks

- Number and sex-ratio depend on the breeding availability
- Contributing to reproduction, usually young individuals
- No aggressive males
- Wild behaviour = predation, flight from humans, territorial with conspecifics

Release methods

- Late summer, early autumn
- Better survival rate with soft release



Survival rate of black-footed ferrets according to release modalities (Livieri, 2017)

Transport

- In nesting boxes with water at will
- Regulated temperature (20°C)
- No unnecessary handling and no facing each other

Transport cage, Estonia



Monitoring of released individuals

Type of monitoring

- Indirect (footprints, hairs samples)
- Telemetry (collar, intra-abdominal transmitter)
- Trap cage
- Camera trap







© Madis Põdra

Radio-collar, Spain

Monitoring results

- High mortality rate in the 6 first weeks
 Predation and collisions
- Long-distance travel before establishing a home range

Translocations acceptance management

Communication

- Evaluation of local people acceptability (questionnaire)
- Participation in feeding in acclimatisation enclosures
- Specific events to raise awareness
- Partnership with zoos



European mink, Madrid Zoo

Mink days,



Conflict management



 Attacks on poultry farms → Financial compensation measures Fear of new restrictions in the area of translocation \rightarrow Targeted communication

Projects management and results

Project management

- Small teams with temporary seasonal reinforcement
- Variable budget and funding sources, more guarantees with LIFE programs
- Long-term projects (> 10 years)

Translocations results

- Reproduction in nature observed for all projects
- Viable population in Hiiumaa Island (Estonia)
- Global population in Spain: ~500 individuals
- Unknown for Russia
- Still considered extinct in Germany even though there are individuals in the wild



Proof of reproduction in the wild, Steinhuder Meer (Germany, 2015)

Key results

| Steps | Results |
|---|---|
| Choice of the translocation site | Requirements: No A. mink, suitable habitats, high level of public acceptability, enough food |
| Actions made before translocation | Eradicate A. mink, restore/improve habitats, no predators control |
| Preparation of the individuals | Soft release with acclimatisation enclosures (natural composition, live preys), no predator training |
| Choice of the individuals | Number and sex-ratio depend on the availability in breeding centre. Choice of the individuals with the wildest behaviour and not aggressive |
| Monitoring | Different methods: telemetry (collar or implants), trap cage, camera trap, footprint High mortality rate and wide movements in the two first months |
| Translocation acceptance management | Evaluation of local people acceptability, participation in feeding, awareness raising in zoos, specific events, targeted communication |
| Project management | Small teams with temporary seasonal reinforcement, variable budget and funding sources, more guarantees with LIFE programs, long-term projects |



Agenda

Organisation of this online meeting

- 1. Future translocations of European Mink
 - Feedback about translocation experiments
 - Proposal for a French translocation strategy
- 2. Care protocol for European Mink in distress





Translocation type

Assisted colonisation :

| Advantages | Drawbacks | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Away from threats No impact on the existing population | Not recommended by IUCN Impacts on ecosystems difficult to predict Outside the National Action Plan range Less well-informed public | | | |
| Option excluded | | | | |
| ntroduction : | | | | |
| Away from threats No impact on the existing population In the National Action Plan range | - Requires massive releases to reach a viable population | | | |
| 1 st stage | | | | |
| nforcement : | | | | |
| In the National Action Plan range Can bring immediate genetic diversity Potential negative impacts on the existing popula (destabilisation, intra-specific competition) Insufficient knowledge about the current population | | | | |
| | Advantages | | | |



Futures translocations in France

Long term project (>10 years) in the National Action Plan (legislation, knowledge, "return on investment"):

- 1st stage = reintroductions
 → Re-create viable population nuclei
- 2nd stage = reinforcements
 - → To strengthen current known cores and link them to new cores created
- \rightarrow Staying the course but remaining adaptable



Scope of the 3rd NAP (DREAL *et al*, 2021)

To do:

- Improved knowledge of the distribution of the A. Mink
- Improved knowledge of the nuclei of E.Mink
- \rightarrow Next Scientific Council = save in your calendar March the 2nd, 2023





Time to discuss: What is your opinion about this French translocation strategy?





 \rightarrow Next step: validation of the French translocation strategy by the National Council for Protection Nature (March the 24th, 2023)



Agenda

Organisation of this online meeting

- 1. Future translocations of European Mink
 - Feedback about translocation experiments
 - Proposal for a French translocation strategy
- 2. Care protocol for European Mink in distress



Care protocol for European Mink in distress

3rd National Action Plan:

Sub-action 1.3.2: Develop and implement a protocol for the management of individuals in distress

- \rightarrow Priority 1
- → Schedule : to be implemented from 2022

Define the **caring methods** for individuals in **distress** found by chance in the wild or during monitoring/conservation actions



Care protocol for European Mink in distress



Document drawn up in the framework of PNA 3 for the European Mink by the following contributors: A nouk DECORS (OFB), Sondrine RUETTE (OFB), Pascal FOURNIER (GREGE/LIFE Vison), Christine FOURNIER-CHAMBRILLON (GREGE/LIFE Vison), Guillaume LE LOC'H (ENVT), Philippe GOURLAY (CVFSE-ONIRIS), Laurie BERTHOMEU (ZOODYSEE).

Coordination and editing: Christelle BELLANGER, Yoann BRESSAN, Maylis FAYET (OFB) scientific and technical facilitators of PNA 3, together with Aurore PERRAULT, DERAL Nouvelle Aquitaine, national coordinator of PNA 3.

Validated by the scientific committee of PNA 3 on:



Care protocol for European Mink in distress

Work group of 7 veterinarians specialists of wildlife:

- Anouk Decors, Sandrine Ruette OFB
- Christine Fournier-Chambrillon, Pascal Fournier GREGE
- Guillaume Le Loc'h ENVT
- Laurie Berthomieu Zoodyssée
- Philippe Gourlay ONIRIS

5 meetings between 2019 and 2022 + Email exchanges Discussion based on **technical data** collected on wild and captive individuals

Visits of the **2 wildlife health centres** of veterinary schools of Nantes (ENVT) and Toulouse (ONIRIS)





Care protocol for European Mink in distress

Main points discussed and conclusions:

Definition of the distress and protocol objectives

Distress = an individual whose survival in the wild is assessed as being threatened due to its inability to move or flee, or to satisfy its own needs in its natural environment. This inability could result in the short-term death of the animal.

- Establish a precise diagnosis explaining the state of health
 - To detect any health problem
 - To improve general knowledge (clinical signs and pathologies)
 - Every individual counts → enable medical care aimed at releasing each individual (as soon as possible, under the best possible conditions, close to the place where it was captured)



Care protocol for European Mink in distress

Main points discussed and conclusions:

- Definition of the distress and protocol objectives
- Setting up a Diagnosis Unit
 - ightarrow to make shared decisions
 - \rightarrow follow protocol's implementation



Sandrine RUETTE – OFB

Anouk DECORS – OFB

Christine FOURNIER-CHAMBRILLON – GREGE

Pascal FOURNIER – GREGE

Guillaume LE LOC'H – ENVT (Replacement: Philippe GOURLAY – ONIRIS)

Care protocol for European Mink in distress

Main points discussed and conclusions:

- Definition of the distress and protocol objectives
- Setting up a Diagnosis Unit
- Definition of the management process: decision flowchart, clinical assessment grid, report form for individuals in distress

Score above à 15



| Local-contact veterinarian: (Name, First name, Tel) | | | | | Stick identification label here | | |
|--|----------|---------|--|--------|---|------|---------------------------------------|
| Date & time of clinical assessment: | | | | | | - | Age/Sex |
| | Par | ameter | | | Description | Note | Score |
| Context | | | Animal caught in a cage, informed before midday | 0 | | | |
| | | | Accidental capture, informed late | 3 | | | |
| | | | | | Road casualty or hand capture | 5 | |
| Behavioural disorder- vigilance | | | | | Normal reaction: alert or wary or defiant or crying or sleeping profoundly (rolled in a ball) or building a nest | 0 | |
| | | | | | Slight changes: trembling and/or panic and/or has not made a nest | 2 | 1 |
| | | | lance | | Clear changes: remains rolled in a ball or very agitate or very unalert | 4 | 1 |
| | | | | | Animal immobile or pre-comatose even with stimulation | 5 | |
| | | | | | Fur lustrous, in a good state | 0 | |
| | | | | | Fur dull and/or ectoparasites ++ and/or dirty | 2 | 1 |
| Cutaneous disorders: state of fur, paw pads, mouth | | , paw | Significant hair loss and/or superficial injury(ies) around mouth and/or bloody paw pads and/or ectoparasites +++ | 3 | 1 | | |
| | | | | | Major clearly visible injury or major lesion (severity/distribution ++/acute or chronic trend) | 5 - | |
| | | | | | Breathing normal | 0 | |
| | | | | | Slight modifications in frequency | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | signs mounteactors in frequency | - | 4 |
| Respiratory disorders | | | Frequency reduced + abdominal respiration (Breathing difficult) | 3 | | | |
| | | | | | Pronounced abdominal respiration (Breathing very difficult) and/or lolling tongue and/or cough and/or runny nose and/or drooling | 5 | |
| Ocular disorders | | | Eves normal, open | 0 | | | |
| | | | Half-closed | 3 | 1 | | |
| | | | | | Propound weaping, over stuck together, glazed or white | | |
| | | | | | No shoormal signs | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | • |
| | | | | | Faces of dublous appearance (very black, very sticky) | 1 | - |
| Digestive al | dicor | lore | ive and/ | or | raeces liquid, abdominal constriction | | |
| neurological disorders | | | Clearly visible neurological signs (dizziness, loss of balance, convulsions), articular oedema | | | | |
| | | | and imping, broken imb, presence of blood in faeces (oxygenated water test), drooling, | , ° | | | |
| | | | | | vomiting, diarribea with solied for | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| WEIGHT* | E. | alar | | alac | Normal (201 for the period) whether first capture or recapture | 0 | - |
| 3dX | Pen | Dect | Dep | Dect | Or respective with weight less < 10% (for males) | 1 | |
| Period | breed. | breed. | breed. | breed. | Eirst canture with weight between O1-10% and O1-15% (for males) | + | 1 |
| Q11 | 480 | 430 | 775 | 720 | Or recapture with weight loss between 10 and 15 % (for males and females) | 2 | |
| Q1 - 10% | 432 | 387 | 697,5 | 648 | First capture with weight between Q1-15% and Q1-20% (for pre-breeding males) | 1 - | 1 |
| 01-15% | 408 | 365.5 | 658.75 | 612 | Or recapture with weight loss between 15 and 20 % (for males and females) | 3 | |
| 01 - 20% | 384 | 344 | 620 | 576 | Recapture with weight loss ≥ 20 % (and rate of weight loss) | 4 | 1 |
| 01 - 25% | 360 | 322.5 | 581 | 540 | | | 1 |
| Pre-bree | ding: la | nuary-M | lav | 540 | First capture with weight less than 430 g for females and 620 g for males | 5 | |
| Post-breeding : June-December | | | | | | | |
| Adjustment | | | | | If more than one score = 4, add one point per box | - | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | |
| | _ | _ | _ | _ | b | | |
| Any score = | à 5 | | _ | _ | Automatic taking into care and transportation to a health centre | | |
| Score between 0 and 10 Release in situ | | | | | Release in situ | • | |
| Score betw | een 10 | and 15 | | | Decision to be taken together with the diagnosis unit | | |

* FOURNIER-CHAMBRILLON, 2020. Protocole de prise en charge d'un Vison d'Europe en détresse. Analyses de données issues de Visons d'Europe sauvages en vue de la définition d'un poids critique chez le Vison d'Europe. 10p (14/10/2020 version)

¹ Q1: minimal weight observed in 75% of weighed individuals for each age and sex category (from Fournier et al. 2019).

Taking into care and transportation to a health centre

Care protocol for European Mink in distress

Main points discussed and conclusions:

- Definition of the distress and protocol objectives
- Setting up a Diagnosis Unit
- Definition of the management process
- Presentation and role of each process participant
- Presentation of the 2 selected health centres



Care protocol for European Mink in distress

Main points discussed and conclusions:

- Definition of the distress and protocol objectives
- Setting up a Diagnosis Unit
- Definition of the management process
- Presentation and role of each process participant
- Presentation of the 2 "authorised" health centres
- Compilation of knowledge acquired through protocol's implementation

In case of death: autopsy → clinical reports + annual report of protocol's implementation to improve it + surveillance of eventual epidemiological pathologies







Agenda

Your opinion is more precisely awaited on:

- Definition of an individuals in distress
- Protocol objectives
- Management process and its feasibility
- Clinical assessment grid

Dematerialised discussions and approval

→ 2 months period, until February the 15th





Thanks for your help!

00

© M. Fayet - OFB/

Thanks to all partners!

Hope to see you soon in the wild